UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EDDIE A. JULIAN-BEY and BRUCE H. BUTLER,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 22-cv-12525 Honorable Sean F. Cox

v.

GRETCHEN WHITMER, ET AL.,

Respondent.

OPINION AND ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFF BRUCE H. BUTLER

This prisoner civil rights action was filed by two prisoner-plaintiffs, Eddie A. Julian-Bey and Bruce H. Butler. Julian-Bey and Butler are in the custody of the Michigan Department of Corrections (MDOC) and currently confined in the Gus Harrison Correctional Facility in Adrian, Michigan. The complaint, filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleges that the defendants (Michigan's governor and MDOC officials) violated the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments based on the conditions at the prison and the defendants' response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Julian-Bey also alleges that he was denied doctor-prescribed medication to alleviate chronic pain.

While the joinder of parties is "strongly encouraged" where appropriate in the interest of judicial economy and fairness, *United Mine Workers of America v. Gibbs*, 383 U.S. 715, 724 (1966), there are practical difficulties inherent in allowing unrepresented prisoners to join together as plaintiffs in one action. *Proctor v. Applegate*, 661 F. Supp. 2d 743, 780 (E.D. Mich. 2009) (Borman, J.). These difficulties include circulating papers

for all prisoners to sign in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a), the transitory nature of

prison populations, and the potential that a prisoner-plaintiff may be prejudiced by

another's delay or failure to correct deficiencies in in forma pauperis applications or other

filings. See, e.g., Calhoun v. Washington, No. 21-10476, 2021 WL 1387782, at *2 (E.D.

Mich. Apr. 13, 2021). In addition, the "need for resolution of individualized questions of

fact and law surrounding the requirement for exhaustion of administrative remedies under

42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a)," contributes to the difficulties of multiple-plaintiff pro se prisoner

cases. Proctor, 661 F. Supp. 2d at 780 (quoting Boretsky v. Corzine, No. 08-2265, 2008)

WL 2512916, *6 (D.N.J. June 23, 2008)). In sum, prisoners are simply "not in the same

situation as non-prisoner joint plaintiffs; prisoners' circumstances make joint litigation

exceptionally difficult." Id. For these reasons, the Court will dismiss Bruce H. Butler

without prejudice.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff Bruce H. Butler is DISMISSED

WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 17, 2022

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

U. S. District Judge

2